Contemporary economic challenges 113, 08 32429

Colin Rowat
Room 220, J.G. Smith Building
c.rowat@bham.ac.uk
www.socscistaff.bham.ac.uk/rowat

October 1, 2019

The most important decisions a scholar makes are what problems to work on (Tobin)

1 Introduction

This module introduces students to contemporary economic challenges.

It does this in two steps. In the first semester, I will lead in presenting some recent debates.

In the second semester, you will work in groups to present issues that you deem to be important. These presentations will take the form of debates, with two groups arguing opposite sides of an issue.

Throughout the first semester, we will also examine the quality of the arguments deployed. The topics addressed in that semester will be somewhat more generic or historical to keep the decks clear for your topic, in the second semester, to be more contemporary.

The module is inspired by a classical view about the importance of being able to argue one's case.¹ Thus, this module aims to improve your understanding of arguments about contemporary economic challenges, your ability to effectively argue your case, and to make talking about economic issues part of your 'real life', rather than just pen-and-paper exercises.

Situations in which these skills might be directly useful include: economists are often expert witnesses in competition cases; economists in policy units and think tanks will seek to sway policymakers.

2 Lectures, classes and office hours

2.1 Lectures

See my.timetables for the lecture schedule. Note that I will be away on 21, 22 and 28 October: the first two of those lectures will count as a reading week; the final lecture will be replaced by a second lecture on 19 November from 5pm – 6pm.

2.2 Classes

See my timetables for the class schedule. There will only be three classes per group, as listed in Table 1.

Throughout the first semester, classes will be used primarily to discuss the set readings that the lectures build upon. To prepare for them, read these in advance, and have questions for the class teacher. (Some of the classes will be taken by Dr Maria Psyllou, m.psyllou@bham.ac.uk.)

As the year progresses, the classes will be used increasingly to make progress with the group projects.

¹Less classically, I always liked Dewatripont and Tirole (1999), so am pleased that this module allows us to engage with it.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|----------|-------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-------|-------|----------|----------|-------|-------|
| 04/11 | 11/11 | 04/11 | 11/11 | 04/11 | 11/11 | 05/11 | 12/11 | 05/11 | 12/11 | 05/11 | 12/11 |
| 18/11 | 25/11 | 18/11 | 25/11 | 18/11 | 25/11 | 19/11 | 26/11 | 19/11 | 26/11 | 19/11 | 26/11 |
| 02/12 | 09/12 | 02/12 | 09/12 | 02/12 | 09/12 | 03/12 | 10/12 | 03/12 | 10/12 | 03/12 | 10/12 |
| MUIR 429 | | | JGSM 210 | | UNIH G05 | | | UNIH G06 | UNIH 106 | | |

Table 1: Classes

2.3 Office hours

During term time, I have office hours on Mondays from 4:00 – 5:00pm and on Tuesdays from 9:00 – 10:00am and 4:00 – 5:00pm. In emergencies, I can arrange by e-mail to see you outside these hours. My office hours from 4pm on Tue 15 Oct through to 28 Oct are cancelled, and replaced by: 11–12 on 29 Oct, 9–10am, 11–1pm and 2–3pm on 30 Oct. I am always happy to correspond by e-mail.

3 Module outline

3.1 Autumn

The topics in Table 2 are provisional and may shift. They follow a tic-toc approach. The 'tic' lecture will debate for and against a proposition. Dr Sultan Salem (s.salem@bham.ac.uk) will help with some of these.

In the corresponding 'toc' lecture, we will:

- 1. explore and discuss the arguments raised in the 'tic' lecture; you will be invited to find papers or facts extending, refuting or qualifying the arguments presented in the tic lecture;
- 2. identify contemporary questions for the Spring semester on which you, as a class, are evenly divided.

| tic | toc | topic | readings | | | |
|--------------|-------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | 1 | | | | |
| 30/09, 01/10 | | introduction to the module; discussion of Spring topics | | | | |
| 07/10 | 08/10 | Is your degree worth its price? | Webber (2014), Spence (1973), Lambert | | | |
| | | | (2019) | | | |
| 14/10 | 15/10 | Do assumptions matter (or just predic- | Friedman (1953, ch.1), H. A. Simon | | | |
| | | tions)? | (1963), Samuelson (1963) | | | |
| 29/10 | 04/11 | Does the market for health insurance | Arrow (1963), Pauly (1968) | | | |
| | | work? | | | | |
| 05/11 | 11/11 | Are we running out of natural resources? | Ehrlich (1981), J. L. Simon (1981), | | | |
| , | , | 0 | Ehrlich (1981-3) | | | |
| 12/11 | 18/11 | Are markets efficient? | Malkiel (2003), Shiller (2003) | | | |
| 12/11 | 10/11 | THE Markets Chicient: | Warker (2003), Sinner (2003) | | | |
| 10/11 | 19/11 | Should governments spend out of | Reinhart and Rogoff (2010), Herndon, | | | |
| 19/11 | 19/11 | | | | | |
| | | slumps? | Ash, and Pollin (2014), Rogoff (2013) | | | |
| 25/11 | 26/11 | Should governments 'nudge' us? | Thaler and Sunstein (2003), Sugden | | | |
| | | | (2008) | | | |
| 02/12 | 03/12 | Do 'fat tails' invalidate standard cost- | Weitzman (2011), Nordhaus (2011), | | | |
| | | benefit analyses? | Pindyck (2011) | | | |
| 09/12, 10/12 | | discussion on Spring topics; test on 10/12 | | | | |

Table 2: Autumn topics and readings

Friedman (1953, ch.1) is reprinted as Chapter 7 here. Rogoff (2013) is available here.

3.2 Spring

Please check my.timetables in January for updates to the lecture schedule. The specific topics debated, and groups involved, will be determined by the end of the Autumn semester. We will try to formulate questions that evenly divide the class.

4 Assessment

Assessment is as shown in Table 3.

| Item | Value | Notes |
|---------------|-------|---|
| class test | 25% | 1 hour; 10 December |
| briefing note | 25% | 1 page individual written submission week before debate |
| debate | 50% | dates announced at end of Autumn semester |

Table 3: Assessment for Mathematical Modelling

Class test The class test will run from 5–6pm on 10 December. It will consist of 50 multiple choice questions; an example test will be circulated in advance.

Briefing note Each group member will submit via Canvas, one week before their debate, a one page briefing note. This will introduce the evidence that their group intend to rely upon in the following week's debate. Evidence may be drawn from any source desired (e.g. academic articles, newspaper articles, etc.): it will be assessed on its credibility and the clarity of its presentation.

Each briefing note must introduce unique evidence: group members cannot submit common notes, nor can they submit notes that are reworded versions of other notes in the group. Group members should work together to coordinate the set of notes that they collectively submit, ensuring that they cover all of the evidence the group intends to rely upon. The left header of the page must indicate the motion that the submission address; its right header must indicate whether it supports the government or opposition position; the left footer must paginate the note in the group's briefing pack (e.g. '1 of 5', '2 of 5', etc.)

| element | duration | description |
|------------------------------|----------|---|
| government position | 10 min | government speaker presents their arguments |
| opposition cross-examination | 10 min | opposition speaker questions the government speaker |
| opposition position | 10 min | opposite speaker presents their arguments |
| government cross-examination | 10 min | government speaker questions the opposition speaker |
| open cross-examination | 10 min | questions from class for government, opposition |

Table 4: Debate format

Debate See Table 4 for the format of each debate. As with the briefing notes, debates will be assessed on the credibility and clarity of arguments presented; the incisiveness of cross-examination, and ability to answer questions under cross-examination will also be valued.

Groups can decide how they will allocate their roles (introducing arguments, cross-examining, answering questions) among members.

5 Reading material

Your interests will largely determine the relevant readings in the second semester of this module. This should include articles published in academic journals. I usually first search with www.scholar.google.com.

If trying to read published articles off-campus, go to university of birmingham.service-now.com, log-in, and search for 'proxy'.²

Material I hand out in class I will also post on my website. I will also post important announcements that I might make during a lecture there.³

References

- Arrow, K. J. (1963). Uncertainty and the welfare economics of healthcare. *American Economic Review*, 53(5), 941–973.
- Dewatripont, M., & Tirole, J. (1999). Advocates. Journal of Political Economy, 107(1), 1–39.
- Ehrlich, P. R. (1981-3). An economist in wonderland. *Social Science Quarterly*, 62(1), 44–49. Last updated 2013-02-22.
- Ehrlich, P. R. (1981). Environmental disruption: Implications for the social sciences. *Social Science Quarterly*, 62(1), 7–22.
- Friedman, M. (1953). Essays in positive economics. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Herndon, T., Ash, M., & Pollin, R. (2014). Does high public debt consistently stifle economic growth? a critique of reinhart and rogoff. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 38(2), 257–279.
- Lambert, H. (2019). The great university con: How the british degree lost its value. New Statesman.
- Malkiel, B. G. (2003). The efficient market hypothesis and its critics. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 17(1), 59–82.
- Nordhaus, W. D. (2011). The economics of tail events with an application to climate change. Review of Environmental Economics and Policy, 5(2), 240–257.
- Pauly, M. V. (1968). The economics of moral hazard: Comment. American Economic Review, 58(3), 531–537.
- Pindyck, R. S. (2011). Fat tails, thin tails, and climate change policy. Review of Environmental Economics and Policy, 5(2), 258–274.
- Reinhart, C. M., & Rogoff, K. S. (2010). Growth in a time of debt. *American Economic Review*, 100(2), 573–78.
- Rogoff, K. (2013, October 1). Faq on herndon, ash and pollin's critique of 'growth in a time of debt'. self-published PDF.
- Samuelson, P. A. (1963). Discussion. American Economic Review, 53(2), 231–236.
- Shiller, R. J. (2003). From efficient markets theory to behavioral finance. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 17(1), 83–104.
- Simon, H. A. (1963). Discussion. American Economic Review, 53(2), 229–231.
- Simon, J. L. (1981). Environmental disruption or environmental repair? *Social Science Quarterly*, 62(1), 30–43.
- Spence, M. (1973). Job market signaling. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 87(3), 355–374.
- Sugden, R. (2008). Why incoherent preferences do not justify paternalism. *Constitutional Political Economy*, 19(3), 226–248.
- Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2003). Libertarian paternalism. *American Economic Review*, 93(2), 175–179.
- Webber, D. A. (2014). The lifetime earnings premia of different majors: Correcting for selection based on cognitive, noncognitive, and unobserved factors. *Labour Economics*, 28, 14–23.
- Weitzman, M. L. (2011). Fat-tailed uncertainty in the economics of catastrophic climate change. *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy*, 5(2), 275–292.

X

²If you have IT difficulties, including finding articles online, the University's IT support can be reached here.

³Thus, if you miss a lecture, please catch up by first speaking to a classmate.